The Effect of Wheatgrass Juice Administration on Physiological State and Oxidative Stress in Carp
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The present paper systematizes the results of the research on the effect of wheatgrass juice administration on some important indicators in assessing the physiological state of two summer-old Cyprinus carpio individuals coming from a recirculating system, as well as on some biochemical parameters with role in the antioxidant defensive. For this, an experiment was carried out over a period of 56 days, which consist in growth of 200 carp specimens, with an initial average weight of 72.85±1.79 g/specimen. Observations carried out during the entire monitoring period of the experimental variants emphasized a good sanitary status of the studied specimens, accompanied by an active feeding behavior. The results on the activity of oxidative stress enzymes and malondialdehyde concentration emphasized significant differences between the treated variants with wheatgrass juice and the control variant, closely related to the wheat juice concentration with which the food ration was supplemented.
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Wheat is considered to be the agricultural crop with the largest area cultivated worldwide, in our country this crop occupies approximately 25% of the arable land area and 40% of the area sown with cereals, wheat flour bread being the main food for a large part of the population. After 6-10 days of germination, the resulted sprouts form the so-called wheatgrass [1], the juice being rich in vitamins and provitamins, among which vitamins A, C, B1, B6, B12, E, folic acid and b-carotene, antioxidants, minerals such as iron, calcium, magnesium, iodine, selenium, chromium, zinc and phenolic compounds such as ferulic acid, gallic acid, caffeic acid, syringic acid and pcummaric acid [2] and many enzymes and aminoacids [3], which give the wheatgrass juice significant nutritional and medical values [4, 5].

On the other hand, Mujoriya, 2011 [6] reported that besides these components, wheatgrass juice is a rich source of vitamin K, sulfur and chlorophyll that neutralizes infections, heals wounds, prevents inflammation, reduces the risk of parasitic infections and strengthens the immune system by inhibiting the metabolic activation of carcinogens [7].

And other authors [8-11] highlight the increasing use of juices from cereal herbs, wheat juice becoming more popular nowadays, being used as a therapeutic food supplement because it improves the immunity of the body and protects it from various health problems. In addition, it is known as miracle juice or green blood with many therapeutic properties [12-14]. It has anticancer properties [15, 16], antimicrobial [17], lowers blood pressure, its efficacy against diabetes and is hepatoprotective [18], helps to weight loss, is anti-arthritic [19], has antioxidant properties and fights against free radicals that are responsible for damage [20, 21].

The goal of this study was to highlight the effects of wheatgrass juice administration on growth performance and biochemical parameters which are implicated in fight against reactive oxygen species on Cyprinus carpio.

Experimental part

Biological material

The biological material used to evaluate the physiological state was represented by carp specimens with an average weight of 72.85±1.79 g/specimen, in the second summer of growth. The experiment was carried out in module 1 of the recirculating system within the Research and Development Resort for Aquaculture and Aquatic Ecology Iasi, the module provided with mechanical filter, biological filter and water oxygenation systems.

In vats of 3.14 m³ each, but with a useful water volume of 1.5 m³, there were 4 experimental variants, in one circular basin with surface water supply and bottom outlet, each batch comprising a number of 50 individuals: a reference batch which receive only fodder (Aller Clasic 2 mm which contains 30% brute protein, 7% lipids, 6.3% ash, 1% total P, 0.2% total Na, 0.9% total Ca, vitamins A and D, Cu, Mn, Zn, I and antioxidants) and three other lots in which wheat juice was introduced in the daily ration in a concentration of 1, 2 and 4% respectively, which was sprayed directly on the feed. Wheatgrass was obtained by spouting wheat grains into plastic trays, the crop being regularly watered, and the grass was cut after 10 days from the seed germination. The juice was obtained by squeezing the grass with a juicer. The experiment was carried out between 26.08 - 20.10.2017, at the beginning of it the carp specimens having an appropriate sanitary status.

Biochemical analyzes were performed on muscle and liver tissue taken from 10 individuals from each experimental lot in part, the samples being preserved at -40°C until the determinations were performed.

The determination of growth indices and biochemical parameters

In order to assess the health status of the observed fish, were determined a number of physiological indices such as relative growth rate, specific growth rate, feed
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conversion rate, protein efficiency ratio and Fulton coefficient [22-24].

The biochemical tests consisted in the determination of catalase activity by Sinha method, superoxide-dismutase by the Nitro-Blue-Tetrazolium method, glutathione-peroxidase by spectrophotometric method with 5,5'-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid and the malon-dialdehyde concentration with 2-thiobarbituric acid [25-27].

For calculation the specific activity, which renders as closely as possible, the real catalytic capacity of the enzymes, we determined the concentration of the total soluble protein using the Bradford method [28].

Statistical interpretation of results

The experimental data was statistically processed using the Microsoft Excel package, the Anova single factor and the Student test. This, the average and the mean standard deviation were calculated, the statistical significance between data being determined by the Fischer test.

Results and discussions

It is known that from all the components used in fish feed, the most important is protein, but all others are closely linked and lead to normal body development [29-31], in the field being many studies about the cyprinid food and the use of different types of ingredients in recipes feed [32-34], but also on the use of various vitamin supplements to improve growth parameters in different fish species [35-37].

In order to determine the maintenance status of the individuals from the analyzed batches, weekly control fisheries were performed to estimate the increase in length and weight, from each experimental variant being measured and weighed 15 specimens at every check fishing. The sanitary status of the biological material was good throughout the whole experiment, no mortality was recorded, an active feeding behavior being observed.

Thus, the total length (TL) was determined with an irometer and the individual weight with an analytical balance. The main parameters of growth performance were calculated weekly too, according to the formulas:

\[-WG (weight gain, g) = FBW (final body weight, g) - IBW (initial body weight, g) [23];\]

\[-RGR (relative growth rate, g/g/day) = [(final body weight, g - initial body weight, g)/ duration of the experiment) / initial body weight, g] [38];\]

\[-SGR (specific growth rate, %) = [(lnFBW - lnIBW)/ number of feeding days]*100 [39];\]

\[-FCR (feed conversion ratio) = [total feed supplied g DM/WG (g)] [23];\]

\[-PER (protein efficiency ratio) = (WG (g)/total protein fed (gDM)) [39];\]

\[-CF=FBW/TL^3 \times 100 [22, 24, 40];\]

\[-S (survival rate, %) = Number of survive fish / number of fish at the beginning \times 100.\]

The results about the dynamics of the growth rate recorded during the experiment are shown in table 1.

The results obtained from the present research pointed out that, at the start of the study period, statistically the differences are insignificant in terms of both the average weight and length of the specimens from the studied groups (p > 0.05).

After the first 7 days from the beginning of the experiment, 15 individuals from each experimental variant were randomly fishing and weighed. The statistical differences are insignificant between all four experimental variants (p > 0.05), both in terms of mean weight and length.

After 14 days of treatment, there were significant differences in the case of mean body weight (p < 0.05) in the variant where 4% (V4) wheatgrass juice was added and insignificant differences in the other three variants. There were also insignificant differences in the evaluation of the total length, too (p > 0.05) as a result of the comparison of all four experimental variants.

After 21 days of follow-up, differences between the four experimental variants are again insignificant in both average body weight and length.

At 28 days after the start of the experiment the differences between the control variant (V1) and all three experimental variants are significant (p < 0.05), but no significant differences were observed depending on the wheatgrass juice concentration, in what concerns the average body weight and total length comparison.

After 35 days from the first application of wheat juice, the differences are significant (p < 0.05) when comparing the control variant (V1) and the experimental variants and insignificant (p > 0.05) at the comparison from the variants at which was added wheatgrass juice (V2, V3, V4) in what concerns the average body weight. In the case of total length, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the variant treated with wheat juice in the concentration of 1% (V2) and the reference lot (V1), but insignificant for the other lots.

After 42, respectively 49 days from the start of the experiment, the differences continue to be significant (p < 0.05) when comparing the control variant (V1) and all the experimental variants and insignificant (p > 0.05) at comparing from the variants at which was used wheatgrass juice (V2, V3, V4), in terms of average body weight. In the case of the total length values, at 42 days,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE DYNAMIC OF GROWTH RATE AT EXPERIMENTAL VARIANTS (n = 15; ± S.E.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Days</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G (g)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V1 - reference; V2 - the batch treated with 1% juice wheat; V3 - the batch treated with 2% juice wheat; V4 - the batch treated with 4% juice wheat

Note: Values (mean = SE) in the same row not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different (p < 0.05)
the differences were significant \((p < 0.05)\) between the control variant \((V1)\) and the other variants \((V3\) and \(V4))\) and insignificantly \((p > 0.05)\) among the others, while at 49 days, the differences are significant \((p < 0.05)\) between the reference variant \((V1)\) and the second variant \((V2)\) and insignificantly \((p > 0.05)\) among the others.

At the last control fishing, of 56 days of application of wheat juice, in terms of average body weight, the differences between the control variants and the experimental variants are significant, with significant differences between the variant containing the maximum juice concentration and the variant 1% when comparing the total length values, the differences are significant \((p < 0.05)\) between the control variant \((V1)\) and the batches with 2% and 4% wheat juice and insignificantly \((p > 0.05)\) among the others.

Based on the statistical interpretation of the growth performance indicators, it can be noticed that the differences are insignificant in what concerns the initial weight \((p > 0.05)\). The mean final weight shows that, statistically, the differences are significant \((p < 0.05)\) between the control variant \((V1)\) and the variants \(V2\), \(V3\), \(V4\), as well as between variants \(V2\) and \(V4\) and insignificant between variants \(V2\) and \(V3\), respectively \(V3\) and \(V4\) (Table 2).

Regarding the relative growth rate (RGR), following the interpretation of statistical data, it can be noticed that the differences are insignificant \((p > 0.05)\) between all experimental variants.

The specific growth rate (SGR) had high values, the statistical differences being significant \((p < 0.05)\) between the reference group and the treated samples, but also between variants \(V2\) and \(V3\), respectively \(V3\) and \(V4\) and insignificantly \((p > 0.05)\) between variants \(V2\) and \(V4\).

The feed conversion factor (FCR) was higher in the reference group \((V1)\) and was inversely proportional to PER. Statistical differences were significant \((p < 0.05)\) between the control variant \((V1)\) and the other variants and between variants \(V3\) and \(V4\), but insignificant \((p > 0.05)\) between \(V2\) and \(V3\), respectively \(V2\) and \(V4\).

This shows that wheatgrass juice influences the absorption capacity of nutrients, improving this process, which ultimately leads to better growth. By comparison, research by Al-Faragi and Al-Saphar, 2013 [39] which investigate the probiotic use in carp nutrition obtained, using a feed of 26% protein, a conversion of 7.2 in the control group decreasing up to 3.49 when administered additional probiotics in the proportion of 0.25 g/kg of feed.

Condition factor (CF) reflects the physiological condition or so-called general condition of the organism, so that the high values of this index indicate a state of harmonious development [40].

In the study we initiated, the Fulton coefficient had high values, but did not show statistical differences between the experimental variants, which were insignificant \((p > 0.05)\).

The condition factor showed high values compared to the studies in the field, the minimum being 1.84 corresponding to the experimental variant \(V2\), and the maximum being 1.97 corresponding to the experimental variant \(V4\). By comparison, studies conducted by Keyombe, 2017 [43] show a condition factor of 1.51 at *Cyprinus carpio* in Lake Naivasha, Kenya, in Ethiopia carp [44] was of 1.22, and in South-West of the Caspian Sea [45] the condition factor was equal to 1.34. We can say that the specimens in the whole lot studied can be classified as being in an excellent state of maintenance.

Another objective of our study was to analyze the effect of wheat juice in concentrations of 1, 2 and 4%, respectively, on the activity of oxidative stress enzymes and on the level of lipid peroxidation, literature data [46, 47], highlighting that wheat juice is rich in antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide-dismutase (SOD) and cytochrome oxidase that have the potential to convert reactive oxygen species (ROS) to hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen.

Thus, a first enzyme taken in the study was superoxide-dismutase (superoxide: superoxide - oxidoreductase, EC 1.15.1.1) oxidoreductase involved in the conversion of superoxide radicals [48, 49]. From figure 1 it can be seen that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 1. SOD activity at the carp treated with wheatgrass juice} \\
***p < 0.001 \text{(very significant); } ** 0.001 < p < 0.005 \text{(significant); } \hspace{1cm} 0.01 < p < 0.05 \text{(less significant); } \hspace{1cm} 0.05 < p < 0.5 \text{(not significant)}
\end{align*}
\]

The growth parameters at experimental variants \((n = 15; \bar{x} \pm S.E)\) were analyzed.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>IDW (g)</th>
<th>FBW (g)</th>
<th>RGR</th>
<th>SGR</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>FCR</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>74.80±4.66</td>
<td>226.97±13.61</td>
<td>0.09±0.01</td>
<td>1.09±0.14</td>
<td>1.47±0.11</td>
<td>2.19±0.36</td>
<td>1.89±0.07</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>75.79±5.02</td>
<td>279.73±14.96</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
<td>2.08±0.18</td>
<td>3.49±0.10</td>
<td>4.83±0.07</td>
<td>1.84±0.07</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>67.71±2.13</td>
<td>217.54±12.63</td>
<td>0.19±0.01</td>
<td>3.14±0.16</td>
<td>2.44±0.14</td>
<td>1.27±0.07</td>
<td>1.89±0.07</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4</td>
<td>80.59±4.74</td>
<td>356.28±19.06</td>
<td>0.09±0.01</td>
<td>2.47±0.08</td>
<td>2.09±0.07</td>
<td>1.65±0.36</td>
<td>1.97±0.06</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V1 - reference; V2 - the batch treated with 1% juice wheat; V3 - the batch treated with 2% juice wheat; V4 - the batch treated with 4% juice wheat; IDW - initial body weight (g); FBW - final body weight (g).

RGR - relative growth rate (g/g/day); SGR - specific growth rate (%); PER protein efficiency ratio (g dry matter); FCR - feed conversion ratio (g dry matter / g weight gain); CF - Fulton coefficient; S - survival rate (%).

*Note: Values (mean = SE) in the same row not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different \((p<0.05)\).*
observed that SOD has a much higher activity in liver tissue compared to muscle tissue. If in the control group, the superoxide-dismutase activity in the muscle reached the mean value of 1.563±0.188 USOD/mg protein, in the sample treated with 1% wheat juice, the enzyme showed a slight decrease in activity to the 1.426±0.144 USOD/mg protein, so that at 2% and 4% wheat juice concentrations, respectively, to register levels of 2.262±0.237 USOD/mg protein, respectively 2.558±0.113 USOD/mg protein.

In the case of hepatic tissue, it can be noticed that regardless of the wheat juice concentration administered, SOD has a higher activity compared to the untreated variant. In fact, the statistical analysis of the results indicates the existence of significant differences between the reference group (3.011±0.193 USOD/mg protein) and the batch treated with a maximum concentration of wheat juice (5.073±0.311 USOD/mg protein).

Catalase (CAT) is a tetrameric chromoprotein found from bacteria and fungi to the most complex animal organisms [50, 51] and plays a role in protecting cells from the toxic effects of hydrogen peroxide which it mobilizes at high speed to convert to O₂ and H₂O without producing other free radicals [52].

The analysis of experimental results on CAT activity highlights a wide range of values both between the experimental groups and between the two types of tissue studied (fig. 2). Thus, in muscle tissue, CAT activity varies between 13.193±1.205 UCAT/mg protein in the 4% wheat juice variant and 26.716±1.636 UCAT/mg protein in the 1% wheat juice group. In liver tissue, CAT activity recorded a threshold of 36.406±1.734 UCAT/mg protein in variant 2, 33.03±1.583 UCAT/mg protein in variant V3 and a maximum threshold of 46.333±1.519 UCAT/mg protein in the variant treated with wheat juice 4%.

GPX is an antioxidant intracellular enzyme present in blood plasma, erythrocytes and various animal tissues which catalyze the reduction of hydrogen peroxide in water and lipid hydroperoxides at the corresponding alcohols to limit its harmful effects [53].

At the muscular level, as shown in figure 3, GPX records an average activity of 1.171±0.11 UGPX/mg protein in the reference experimental variant, so that whenever a wheat juice concentration of 2 and 4% the GPX free mobilization rate is higher (1.492±0.16 UGPX/mg protein and 1.833±0.103 UGPX/mg protein) compared to the control group. In contrast, in the case of hepatic tissue, GPX has a minimum threshold for the batch treated with 1% wheat juice (2.028±1.033 UGPX/mg protein), so that in the variant treated with 2% wheat juice it reaches an average value of 2.794±0.083 UGPX/mg protein, the maximum value being registered in the case of the fish who were fed with 4% wheat juice (3.384±0.168 UGPX/mg protein).

In addition, the t-Student statistical significance test revealed a significant difference (0.01 < p < 0.05) between the control group and the variant treated with 4% wheat juice in the case of hepatic samples.
Literature data [1] indicates that antioxidants are essential compounds in foods that are very efficient in preventing body-damaging reactions, such as oxidation of lipids caused by oxidative stress, malon-dialdehyde (MDA) being a marker of oxidative stress and oxidative status of the animal and human organism [54].

In what concerns the MDA concentration in liver tissue samples, at the two summer-old carp groups subjected to wheat juice treatment (fig. 4) show a decreasing trend from the reference lot to the maximum juice concentration of wheatgrass juice (0.178±0.013 nM/mg protein), while the maximum threshold is reached for the batch treated with 1% wheat juice (0.44±0.074 nM/mg protein).

Conclusions

On the basis from experimental data it can be stipulated the following conclusions:

The growth rate obtained and the state of maintenance of the fish in the case of wheatgrass juice administration are significantly higher compared to the control variant.

In addition to a faster growth rate, wheatgrass juice administration also influences the specific growth rate, feed conversion factor, feed protein efficiency, and general maintenance status, expressed by the condition factor.

Survival was good for all experimental variants, being between 96-98%.

In terms of the activity of oxidative stress enzymes and malon-dialdehyde level, more or less significant differences were found between the reference group and the experimental variants, irrespective of the concentration of wheatgrass juice administered. In the same time, significant differences were also noted depending of the tissue analyzed, with higher net values in the case of the liver.
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