Evaluation of the Corrosion Resistance of Some Coating Obtained by Thermal Spray in Plasma Jet, on the Surface of Some Crankshafts Made of C45 Steel
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Abstract. For modern engines the oil change interval can reach up to 30,000 km. If the oils lose their properties and are contaminated, they can adversely affect the corrosion resistance, the surface of the main bearing journals and the main journals of the crankshafts. In this study, the results obtained from the corrosion process were analyzed, in a solution of 3.5% NaCl of the layers obtained after spraying the surface of a C45 steel, used in the construction of crankshafts, with three powders: Cr₃C₂-(Ni20Cr), Al₂O₃-13TiO₂, Cr₂O₃-SiO₂-TiO₂. The corrosion process was determined by the potentiodynamic polarization technique. The variations in time of the open-circuit potential and the Tafel curves were analyzed, for the samples with deposited layers and the basic material. Morphology and characterization of the structure of the layers after corrosion were performed by means of SEM microscopy and EDAX analysis. The results confirm that the powders sprayed by the atmospheric plasma spray method protect the surface of C45 steel from corrosion.
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1. Introduction

In the automotive industry, crankshafts are one of the most requested components of the internal combustion engine. To increase the wear resistance of crankshafts, heat treatments are applied on the surface of the main bearing journals and the main journals to harden them. The most common treatments are: nitriding, carburising, chromium plating, carbonitriding etc [1]. According to the current trends, a new methodology for the modification of the surface quality is developed: thermal spray process. The most common process of thermal spray coating is the process of plasma jet deposition (APS) [2]. By using the APS method to cover various surfaces (including steel parts), the wear resistance, high temperatures and corrosion can be improved. Also the coatings ensure an increase of the surface hardness and reduced porosity, this having a positive effect on the corrosion resistance [3-11].

There have been studies on the deposition of powders by the APS method, which have highlighted the increased hardness and rigidity of the layers, but also that the coatings obtained by this method are very adherent even without a coating, having a good resistance under the conditions. high temperature even if they have a small layer thickness [12-16]. The oils used for modern engines have an exchange range that can reach 30000 km. Although the range is flexible, the oil can be contaminated with fuels, especially during cold starts, when a small amount of fuel can reach the oil, thus affecting the viscosity, the ignition point and the flow point [17-20].

Tsuchiya et al. conducted a study on current fuels which shows that a minimum of 2% biodiesel in fuel composition can lead to premature wear of metal components [21]. In the case of diesel engines, the regeneration of the particulate filter can lead to the dilution of the oil, the consequences being the ones presented above [22, 23]. The main engine oil degradation processes are oxidation, chemical changes
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caused by thermal processes, corrosion, mechanical decomposition and contamination. The corrosion occurs due to the influence of the acid components resulting from the formation of hydrogen ions (H+) [24]. The steel components without surface treatment are affected by corrosion, the roughness of the surfaces having an important role in the way the parts are affected [25].

The corrosion rate depends on a number of factors that influence the kinetics of the mechanisms in the case of several processes involved in the transport of ions from metal to solution and in cathodic reactions [26]. In some cases, corrosion may affect metal parts (especially main bearings), as compared to the wear caused by oil degradation, laboratory tests confirming the data obtained from dynamic bench tests [27].

Rostek and Babiak conducted a research on the degradation of lubricant parameters in extended range oil change machines. The study concludes that the temperatures reached by the oil in normal operation, lead in the long term to the progressive degradation of the oxidation inhibitors, this causing the change of viscosity along with the increase of the acidity value, so that the danger of corrosion in the bearings and the piston segments appears [28].

The purpose of this study is to determine the corrosion resistance of the layers deposited by the method of plasma jet coating method on the surface of some crankshafts.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Methodology

The powders used in the thermal spraying process were selected based on the information provided by the manufacturer (Oerlikon Metco). The characteristics of the powders are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Powder</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Maximum service temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metco 130</td>
<td>Al₂O₃13TiO₂</td>
<td>53+15</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metco 81NS</td>
<td>Cr₃C₂(Ni20Cr)</td>
<td>106+10</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metco 136F</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃SiO₂TiO₂</td>
<td>53+15</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Powders were sprayed on the test surface using the SPRAYWIZARD-9MCE purchased from Sulzer Metco, their appearance being shown in Figure 1. Table 2 presents the technological parameters used to deposit the selected powders. No intermediate layer was used, for the applications designed in this study, without this type of coating, the manufacturer recommends only the preparation of the spray surface preheated at 100°C [29-31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Powder</th>
<th>Al₂O₃13TiO₂</th>
<th>Cr₃C₂(Ni20Cr)</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃SiO₂TiO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spray distance (mm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injector (mm)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma gas intensity, (A)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric arc voltage (V)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon flow (m³/h)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen flow (m³/h)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon pressure (bar)</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen pressure (bar)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The corrosion resistance was determined by potentiodynamic polarization technique. This consists in plotting the linear polarization curves involving the following steps:
- measuring / monitoring the open circuit potential (EOC), for a duration of 3 h;
- drawing the curves of potentiodynamic polarization from -200 mV (vs OCP) to +200 mV (vs OCP) - Tafel curves, with a scan rate of 0.167 mV / s.

Corrosion resistance tests were performed using a potentiostat / galvanostat (model PARSTAT 4000, Princeton Applied Research) to which a low current module (VersaSTAT LC) was coupled, and the potentiodynamic curves (Tafel) were processed using VersaStudio software. For the tests, a corrosion cell comprising a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) - reference electrode, a platinum electrode as counter electrode and the working electrode, which consisted of the experimental samples to be investigated, was used. The electrochemical cell was inserted, during the corrosion tests, into a Faraday cage in order to eliminate the interference due to the electromagnetic fields. The tests were carried out at 25 ± 1 °C, using as electrolyte a 3.5% NaCl solution. The tested samples were coded in the corrosion resistance tests according to the data presented in Table 3.

### Table 3. Codification of samples subjected to electrochemical corrosion tests

| 1. | C45 Steel | C45 |
| 2. | C45 coated steel with Metco 81NS | M81NS |
| 3. | C45 coated steel with Metco 130 | M130 |
| 4. | C45 coated steel with Metco 136F | M136F |

The observations and images of the analyzed microstructures were made with the help of the Quanta 200 3D Dual Beam electron microscope (FEI), equipped with the semi-quantitative elemental chemical analysis module (EDS, energy dispersive X ray spectroscopy) produced by EDAX - Ametek. Because the analyzed coatings belong to the category of ceramic and ceramic - metal coatings, for the electron microscopy the Low Vacuum working module was chosen, with LFD (Large Field Detector) specific detector, the images being acquired at magnification powers between 500x and 5000x.

### 3. Results and discussions

Following the corrosion tests carried out in 3.5% NaCl solution, the following parameters characterizing the corrosion resistance of the investigated samples were determined: open circuit potential (Eoc), corrosion potential (Ecor), density of corrosion current (icor), slope of the cathode curve (βc), slope of the anodic curve (βa). Based on the parameters determined from the Tafel curves (Figure 3), the following parameters were also calculated using the corrosion resistance of the investigated samples can be characterized:
- polarization resistance (Rp),
- the porosity of the layers (P),
- efficiency in corrosive attack (Pe).
The polarization resistance was calculated according to ASTM G59-97 (2014) using the Stern-Geary equation:

\[ R_p = \frac{1}{2.3 \beta_a |\beta_c|} \left( \frac{1}{i_{cor}} \right) \]

where:
\( \beta_a \) - slope of the anodic curve,
\( \beta_c \) - slope of the cathode curve,
\( i_{cor} \) - density of corrosion current.

For the initial state the porosity of the deposited layers (P) but also the efficiency of the corrosive attack (\( P_e \)) could be calculated.

The porosity of the layers was calculated using a relation establish by Elsener [32]:

\[ P = \left( \frac{R_{ps}}{R_p} \right) \times 10 \left( \frac{|\Delta E_{corr}|}{b_a} \right) \]

where:
\( R_{ps} \) - polarization resistance of the substrate,
\( R_p \) - polarization resistance of the layer,
\( \Delta E_{corr} \) - the difference between the values of the corrosion potentials of the substrate and the layer,
\( b_a \) – the anodic Tafel slope of the curve for the substrate.

The protection against corrosive attack was calculated using the relation:

\[ P_e = (1 - \frac{i_{cor,coating}}{i_{cor,substrate}}) \times 100 \]

where:
\( i_{cor,coating} \) - density of corrosion current of the coating,
\( i_{cor,substrate} \) - density of corrosion current of the substrate,

Table 4 presents the main parameters of the electrochemical corrosion process obtained in the tests carried out in 3.5% NaCl solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( E_{oc} ) (mV)</th>
<th>( E_{corr} ) (mV)</th>
<th>( i_{corr} ) (( \mu )A/cm(^2))</th>
<th>( \beta_a ) (mV/decade)</th>
<th>( \beta_c ) (mV/decade)</th>
<th>R_p (kOhm cm(^2))</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>P_e (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>C45</td>
<td>-683</td>
<td>-578</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>M81NS</td>
<td>-593</td>
<td>-554</td>
<td>4.716</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>negative value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>M130</td>
<td>-564</td>
<td>-545</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>138.68</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>24.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>M136F</td>
<td>-625</td>
<td>-601</td>
<td>5.301</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>negative value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variations of the open circuit potential (Eoc) are shown in Figure 2 and the Tafel curves corresponding to the tested samples are shown in Figure 3.
If we consider the value of the corrosion potential (Ecor), the values of the more electropositive Ecor corrosion potential are considered to have a better corrosion behavior.

From this point of view it is observed that the highest positive value (-545mV) is that of the sample M130 meaning a better corrosion behavior in the electrolyte used. It is known that a low corrosion current density (icor) indicates good corrosion resistance. Thus, if we consider this criterion, it is observed that the deposition used for the M130 sample records the lowest value (462 nA / cm²), demonstrating that it has a better corrosion resistance to the support material (sample C45) but also to the other types of coatings.

If we analyze the corrosion behavior according to the value of the open circuit potential (Eoc), we must take into account the fact that the more electropositive values denote a better corrosion behavior. In the case of the samples covered with Metco 81NS, Metco 136F and in the case of the basic material (C45), it is observed that the evolution of the potential is relatively constant, unlike the specimens sprayed with Metco 130 which have a fluctuating evolution in the first part of the considered test (first 4500 s). The average value of the potential in the open circuit, showed that the sample M130 has the most electropositive potential (-564 mV) and consequently a nobler character from the electrochemical point of view. It is known that a high value polarization resistance (Rp) shows a good corrosion behavior of a material, and a low value of this parameter represents a lower corrosion resistance. Thus, from the values resulting from the corrosion test it is observed that the higher value is that of the sample M130 (138.68 kΩxcm²), so this is characterized by a better resistance to corrosion compared to the other samples analyzed.
From the point of view of the porosity values of the layers (P) calculated on the basis of the obtained electrochemical parameters, the sample M130 with a value of only 0.06% is highlighted. Taking into account the efficiency of the corrosive attack (Pe) it is observed that the entire sample M130 is noted with a value of 24.03%.

Comparing the values of the electrochemical parameters corresponding to the coated samples and the uncoated material (substrate) it can be said that the M130 sample has the best corrosion resistance in the 3.5% NaCl solution with the best values of the electrochemical parameters. The results obtained from the corrosion test were supplemented by observations of the morphology of the sample surfaces, both in the initial state and after performing the tests.

Discussion SEM measurements

The morphological changes were interpreted comparatively between the two states, so that the effect of the corrosion environment on the surface quality can be highlighted.

Figure 4. a) SEM image of the coating deposited with Metco 81NS before corrosion (500X), b) Elemental chemical analysis of the coating deposited with Metco 81NS, before corrosion

Figure 5. a) SEM image of the coating deposited with Metco 81NS after corrosion (500X), b) Elemental chemical analysis of the coating deposited with Metco 81NS after corrosion

Figures 4 and 5 respectively show images of the surface of the layer deposited with Metco 81NS powder before and after corrosion together with the results of elemental chemical analyzes of type EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy) made on the surfaces of the two samples. In the case of the sample in the initial state, the specific chemical composition is observed, in which the elements Cr (43.25 wt%), Ni (34.6 wt%), Fe (11.34 wt%) are present in higher percentages, O (9.51 wt%), Si (1.27 wt%).
In the case of the same coating, after the application of the resistance test to corrosion, it is observed, besides the presence of elements specific to the type of layer and the presence of elements specific to the environment of corrosion (which are in very small percentages): Cr (37.58 wt%), Ni (41.82 wt%), O (14.67 wt%), Si (1.56 wt%), Ca (0.73 wt%), Cl (0.39 wt%), Na (0.19 wt%). The fact that the mass ratios of the component chemical elements have not undergone major changes, denotes that the coating has a stable character and was not decisively influenced by the 3.5% NaCl electrolyte solution.
Figure 9. a) SEM image of the coating deposited with Metco 130 after corrosion, (500X), b) Elemental chemical analysis of the coating deposited with Metco 130

Figure 10. SEM images of the coating deposited with Metco 130, before the corrosion test: a) 1000X, b) 5000X

Figure 11. SEM images of the coating deposited with Metco 130, after the corrosion test: a) 1000X, b) 5000X

Analyzing similar the sprayed layer with Metco 130 (Figures 8-11), we can say that there have been no substantial changes in its structure, following exposure to corrosive environment.

Following the elemental chemical analysis and the comparative evaluation between the storyline before and after the corrosion test (Figures 8 and 9), the presence of the chemical elements specific to
the corrosive substance was observed, their weights relative to those recorded in the case of Metco 81NS coating being similar.

By strictly analyzing the morphology of the surface of the coating made with Metco 130 after corrosion (Figure 11), compared with the appearance before corrosion (Figure 11), we can observe that there was a slight accentuation of the gaps between the splats, with slight highlighting of some particles, which does not seem to negatively influence the corrosion resistance confirmed by the process records.

![Figure 12. a) SEM image of the coating deposited with Metco 136F before corrosion, (500X), b) Elemental chemical analysis of the coating deposited with Metco 136F](image1)

![Figure 13. a) SEM image of the coating deposited with Metco 136F after corrosion, (500X), b) Elemental chemical analysis of the coating deposited with Metco 136F](image2)

![Figure 14. SEM images of the coating deposited with Metco 136F, before the corrosion test: a) 1000X, b) 5000X](image3)
When comparing the elemental chemical composition of the surface of the layer obtained by spraying the Metco 136 F powder for the cases before and after the corrosion test (Figures 12, 13), the presence of some chemical elements generated during the corrosion process was observed, in very small percentages: C (2.24 wt%), Na (0.49 wt%), Cl (0.15 wt%), Ca (1.15 wt%). Comparing morphologically the appearance of the surface in the initial state with that of the corroded state, we can say that no major structural changes are observed, the layer having the same lamellar aspect specific to the coatings obtained by thermal spraying.

Figure 15. SEM images of the coating deposited with Metco 136 F, after the corrosion test: a) 1000X, b) 5000X

Figure 16. Elemental EDS chemical analysis of the C45 core material specimen before the corrosion test

Figure 17. Elemental EDS chemical analysis of the C45 core material specimen following the corrosion test
In Figures 17 and 19, the sample appearance of basic material C45 was observed, after the corrosion test was applied. Compared with the appearance of the samples in the initial state (Figure 18), it is observed that on the surface of the sample there are small pitting corrosion points, the elemental chemical analysis of EDS type performed on the surface of the sample (Figure 16), highlighting the presence in very small quantities of some chemical elements specific to the interaction with the corrosion medium (Ca, Cl, Si, Na, O).

4. Conclusions

Based on the potential values of Eoc and Ecor, it is observed that the coating of the steel substrate with layers deposited by thermal spraying has led to an improvement of its behavior to corrosion, which comes in support of the extension of the life of the shaft and bearing shafts of the crankshafts through thermal spray.

The appearance of the control sample (the uncoated basic material) observed when analyzing the surface after the corrosion test is carried out is characterized by the presence of corrosion points, while the surfaces deposited by thermal spraying of the three types of powders analyzed (Metco 81NS - Cr₃C₂ (Ni20Cr), Metco 130 - Al₂O₃13TiO₂, Metco 136F - Cr₂O₃SiO₂TiO₂) were not significantly affected by the corrosive agent.

Comparing the values of the electrochemical parameters corresponding to the coated samples with those obtained in the case of the uncoated material (substrate) it can be said that the sample M130 (layer made by depositing powder Al₂O₃13TiO₂) has the best corrosion resistance in the 3.5% NaCl solution with the best values of the electrochemical parameters: the noblest electrochemical character, the most electropositive potential of corrosion, the lowest density of the corrosion current and the highest value of the polarization resistance.
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