Modeling of Solid-Fluid non-catalytic Processes for Nickel Ion Removal
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Abstract: The aim of this study is modeling of the process of Ni(II) removal onto new materials synthesized by a facile coprecipitation method. The literature presents different surfactants intercalated on MgAl-LDH with applicability for nickel ions removal, but the researches on the use of this material ‘as cast’ as adsorbent for Ni(II) ions are limited, it is reason to develop this study. The morphology, chemical composition, and the basic structure of the new material were analyzed by SEM, EDAX, BET, XRD and FT-IR. The kinetic modeling was performed using the pseudo-first-order, four-type linear pseudo-second-order, and intraparticle diffusion. The experimental data demonstrated that the adsorption process is very fast in the first 20 minutes and reach equilibrium after 50 min. The maximum adsorption capacities of the adsorbent are in the range of 36.5-68.18 mg/g, for the 200-500 mg/L initial solution concentration.
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1. Introduction

Contamination of water with heavy metals is a recognized problem in many countries [1, 2]. The presence of heavy metals in the industrial wastewater has a serious environmental impact over aquatic life and humans. It is unanimous accepted that heavy metals and dyes has a strong impact on the environment [3-8].

The most common heavy metal pollutants from water are mercury, cadmium, arsenic, chromium, nickel, lead, zinc, iron, and selenium. Among the different heavy metals known (copper, lead, cobalt, zinc, chrome, uranium), Ni(II) occupies a special place. The nickel, a known heavy metal is found in the environment, because is large used in industry [9, 10], in production, recycling and disposal leading to widespread environmental pollution. The nickel pollution can be caused by various industrial processes, such as incinerators, rubber/plastic industries, battery industries, electroplating industries. The nickel can produce free radicals that induced pathophysiological changes in living systems. On the other hand the nickel ions induced genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, immunotoxicity, etc. [11].

The most used methods for heavy metal removal is adsorption, the adsorption process has been involved for treatment of contaminated water, because is a cheap and convenient method as compared with various techniques [4, 12-14]. So far, certain materials have been used to retain this type of pollutant using adsorption process: Layered double hydroxides (LDH) [15, 16], Chitosan Coated Cobalt Ferrite [14]; Biochars produced from wheat straw pellets and rice husk [17]; Peat [18]; Adsorbents from fly ash [2, 19]; Natural zeolite [20]; Natural, Sodium and Acid Modified Clinoptilolite-Rich Tuff [1]; Modified zeolite [21], sawdust [22].
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LDH is known as anionic clays, and are extensively studied in the last period due to their properties [23, 24]. LDHs have positively charged layers and water molecules between the layers. The general formula of LDH is $[\text{M(II)}_{1-x} \text{M(III)}_x(\text{OH})_2]_{x/n} \cdot n \text{H}_2\text{O}$, where M(II) and M(III) are divalent and trivalent metals respectively, and $A^{n-}$ is an anion. The positive charges produced from the isomorph substitution of divalent or trivalent cations, are hostage balanced by anions [25].

A variety of layered materials have been synthesized by different methods: coprecipitation, ion-exchange, rehydration, hydrothermal, secondary intercalation, re-coprecipitation, among these the coprecipitation is extensively used, because a wide variety of anionic species can directly intercalate between the hydroxylated sheets [26]. The LDHs have widespread applications as catalyst precursors, adsorbents, anionic exchangers, in biochemistry, polymer additives, as hybrid pigments, etc. [27, 28].

In the present study, MgAl-LDH was prepared and checked for its potential in removing Ni(II) from aqueous solution. Another objective was the kinetics modeling using the Pseudo-first-order, Four-type linear pseudo-second-order, and Intraparticle diffusion kinetic models.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Materials synthesis and characterization

The chemical reagents were analytical grade and were used as received. Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$ • 6H$_2$O, Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ • 9H$_2$O, NaOH and Na$_2$CO$_3$ were used for the synthesis of the MgAl-LDH.

For preparing solution having concentrations of 200-500 mg/L, was used Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$ • 6 H$_2$O, diluted in 0.5 L deionized water. The 0.1 M HNO$_3$ solution was added to adjust the initial solution pH. All chemicals used in this research were purchased from Merck and were utilized without any purification.

The adsorbent, MgAl-LDH, (Mg/Al ratio of 2/1) was synthesized by coprecipitation method [29], with some modifications, as follows: Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$ • 6H$_2$O (0.126 mole, 32.3g) and Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ • 9H$_2$O (0.063 mole, 23.63g) were dissolved in 250 mL of deionized water. The NaOH (0.6 M) and Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.34 M) solutions were added, under agitation until the pH 9.5±0.1. The white precipitate obtained indicated the formation of the proposed adsorbent. The suspension was stirred at 65 °C, for 12 h. The suspension was filtered, repeatedly washed to pH 7 and dried at 60°C for 24h.

A scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM-6390) equipped with an EDS detector was used for morphology characterization. The elemental analysis was determined using energy dispersive electron microscopy (SEM-EDX). BET surface area was determined by Quantachrome instruments, Nova 2200e model. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the sample was characterized by using an Advance D8-Bruker X-ray diffractometer, with Cu-Kα radiation. The samples were scanned at 10˚ - 60˚. FTIR spectrum was obtained using a Jasco FT/IR-6100 spectrometer, the samples were scanned between 400 and 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

2.2. Adsorption studies

Some researchers have shown through their papers that the optimal initial solution pH for nickel adsorption is 6, regardless of the adsorbent used [10, 30, 31]. Consequently, the batch equilibrium experiments were carried out at constant pH value of 6.0±0.1, using 40 mg of MgAl-LDH and 40 mL of Ni(II) solution having different initial concentrations (200, 300, 500 mg/L). Also, it should be mentioned that the quantity of the adsorbent dose was established based on some preliminary studies [10].

The Ni(II) concentration in the supernatant was analyzed by EDTA complexometric method that is a simple and convenient method with high precision and accuracy. Also, this method complies with the heavy metal determination norm. The laboratory tests were evaluated at room temperature under magnetic stirring at 200 rpm. All determinations were realized in duplicate.

The adsorption capacity, $q$ (mg/g) was calculated through equations (1):

$$q = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where \( C_0 \) and \( C_e \) are the initial and equilibrium Ni(II) concentrations (mg/L), \( q \) is the amount of Ni(II) adsorbed onto MgAl-LDH (mg/g), \( V \) is the volume of Ni(II) solution (L), and \( m \) is the quantity of MgAl-LDH (g).

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Material characterization

The morphology, chemical composition, and the basic structure of the material were analyzed by SEM, EDAX, BET, XRD and FT-IR prior to adsorption studies. A comprehensive characterization of sample is important for the possible applications in different areas. The morphology and chemical composition of sample was observed by SEM and EDX analysis. The analysis of the material is presented in Figure 1. The analysis from the SEM point of view (Figure 1) at higher magnification, allow the remark of an undoubtedly LDHs morphology [23, 28]. The overall results of analysis demonstrate that a well-organized structure was obtained under the applied synthesis conditions. SEM analysis demonstrates that the sample showed the characteristics of hexagonal platelets where the particles are interconnected with each other.

![Figure 1. SEM analysis for synthesized materials](image)

The ratio of Mg/Al is an important criterion for characterizing material compounds. The EDS analysis showed that the structure of the material is composed of Mg, Al, C, and O. The measured Mg/Al ratio is 2.32, which is close to the ratio that was set out at the beginning of the synthesis. The results of the EDX analysis indicate that the carbon content of the sample is 23.18 %. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) is confirmed on the basis of Mg/Al ratio that the material was successfully synthesized (Figure 2) [32].
Figure 2. EDX analysis for synthesized materials

Figure 3 displays the N\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption isotherms. The material presents a typical II isotherm. The specific surface area is 380 m\textsuperscript{2}/g. Total pore volume is 0.104 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g and average pore diameter of 7.65 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrum</th>
<th>In stats.</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21.74</td>
<td>62.15</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>59.96</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>60.85</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean | 23.18 | 60.99 | 11.07 | 4.77 |
Std. deviation | 2.22 | 1.10 | 1.01 | 0.40 |
Max. | 25.73 | 62.15 | 11.90 | 5.18 |
Min. | 21.74 | 59.96 | 9.94  | 4.37 |

Figure 3. N\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption isotherms

In order to establish the functional groups, the sample was characterized by FTIR technique. The results of FTIR analysis are presented in figure 2. Also, based on the FTIR analysis presented in figure 4 it can be stated that a MgAl-LDH type material was obtained [24, 26].

The band at 3453.68 cm\textsuperscript{-1} could be attributed to the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group. The low intensity band at 1595.81 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is assigned to bending vibration of strongly adsorbed water (solvation water for compensating anion vibration). The band at 1371 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is assigned to carbonate vibration, the bands at 676 is due to M-O vibration. The FTIR spectrum confirms the formation of MgAl-LDH material.

To certify the formation of MgAl-LDH, the sample was characterized by XRD analysis. The XRD patterns of the synthesized material are presented in Figure 5.
XRD diffractogram for the LDH compounds show sharp, symmetric, and intense at low 2θ values and less intense at higher 2θ values. The peak with the major intensity was obtained at 2θ = 11.5° which corresponded to the (003) plane. The doublet peak at a 60° of 2θ value indicates the existence of an ordered layered material (JCPDS File No. 22-700). The (003), (006), (012) peaks highlights the characteristics planes that can be identify in the structure of a standard MgAl-LDH synthesized material. Consequently, Figure 5 is the typical pattern of MgAl-LDH composite.

Using the overall results generated through SEM, EDX, FTIR, XRD, and BET analysis, it could be highlights that a MgAl-LDH type material was achieved.

3.2. Adsorption results

The experiments were developed to study the adsorption of Ni(II) onto synthesized adsorbent in terms of the influence of contact time. Adsorption of Ni(II) onto prepared material as a function of contact time for three different concentrations of Ni(II): 200, 300, and 500 mg/L is shown in Figure 6.

The adsorption process was performed for 360 min in order to determine the time required to obtain the maximum adsorption capacity.
The results demonstrate that the adsorption capacity increased quickly within the first 20 min of contact, then rises slowly and reaches the maximum uptake after 60 min ($q = 36.5, 45.27, 68.18$ mg/g at equilibrium using 200, 300, and 500 mg/L initial Ni(II) concentration). Increasing the adsorption capacity with the increasing of contact time is similar for MgAl-LDH for all three working concentrations. The large number of adsorption sites available for adsorption and the rapid diffusion of metal from the solution to the external surfaces of Mg–Al–LDH contributes the fast removal rate. Taking into account that there was no any significant change after 60 min, an adsorption time of 60 min will be selected for further investigations. The influence of the contact time through the adsorption process confirms that the adsorption capacity is dependent on the contact time. The results are in accord with references, a comparison is presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity, mg/g</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg–Al–Zn mingled oxides</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH-Nitrilotriacetate Composite</td>
<td>7.153</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash zeolites</td>
<td>23.24</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca–Fe/LDH–Cit</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl–CO$_3$–LDH</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl–EDTA–LDH</td>
<td>108.2</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloisite Na$^+$ clay</td>
<td>31.43</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture algae</td>
<td>9.848</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGC</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-LDH</td>
<td>36.5–68.18</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presented results confirm the effectiveness of adsorption of the Ni(II) ions onto MgAl-LDH material.

### 3.3. Adsorption modeling

To determine the mechanism and rate-control steps in the Ni(II) adsorption process, for the beginning, three common kinetics models, namely: the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, and
intraparticle diffusion have been employed to fit the experimental data [40]. The linear form corresponding to each model is presented based on Eq (2), Eq (3), and Eq (4):

**Pseudo-first order model:**

\[
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{(k_1 t)}{2.303} 
\]  

(2)

**Pseudo-second order model:**

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]  

(3)

**Intraparticle diffusion model**

\[
q_t = k_i t^{0.5}
\]  

(4)

in which, \(q_t\) (mg/g) is the amount of Ni(II) ions adsorbed at time \(t\), \(q_e\) (mg/g) is the amount of Ni(II) ions adsorbed at equilibrium, \(k_1\) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant (1/min.), \(k_2\) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant (g/mg min); \(k_i\) is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant.

![Graphs showing the linear form of each model](image)

(a) Pseudo-first order

(b) Pseudo-second order
Figure 7. Pseudo-first-order model; Pseudo-second-order model; Intraparticle diffusion model for the adsorption of Cd\(^{2+}\) ions: (a) 200 mg/L; (b) 300 mg/L; (c) 500 mg/L

The relevant kinetic parameters of the models are recorded in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic model</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 mg/L</td>
<td>300 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first order</td>
<td>(k_1), 1/min</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>0.0953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.9895</td>
<td>0.9814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second order</td>
<td>(q_e), mg/g</td>
<td>37.59</td>
<td>46.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(k_2), g/mg min</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparticle diffusion</td>
<td>(k_i), mg/g·min(^{0.5})</td>
<td>1.2813</td>
<td>1.1797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.6249</td>
<td>0.5009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparing the correlation coefficients of all kinetic models, it is obvious that the pseudo-second-order model is suitable for the adsorption kinetic for Ni(II) onto MgAl-LDH. This model reveals that the rate-limiting step is chemical adsorption [41].

The pseudo-second–order kinetic model has been linearized into four different types (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Linear form</th>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Type I        | \(\frac{1}{q_t} = \frac{1}{kq^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t\) | \(\frac{1}{q_t} vs t\) | \(q_e=1/m\)  \\
|               | \(\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{kq^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t\) | \(t vs \frac{1}{q_t}\) | \(k= m^2/c\)  |
| Type II       | \(\frac{1}{q_t} = \left(\frac{1}{kq^2}\right) + \frac{1}{q_e} t\) | \(\frac{1}{q_t} vs \frac{1}{t}\) | \(q_e=1/c\)  \\
|               | \(\frac{t}{q_t} = \left(\frac{1}{kq^2}\right) + \frac{1}{q_e} t\) | \(t vs \frac{1}{q_t}\) | \(k= c^2/m\)  |
| Type III      | \(q_t = q_e \left(1 - \frac{1}{kq^2}\right)\) | \(q_t vs \frac{q_t}{t}\) | \(q_e=c\)  \\
|               | \(\frac{q_t}{t} = kq^2 - kq^2 q_t\) | \(q_t vs q_t\) | \(k=-1/c x m\)  |
| Type IV       | \(\frac{q_t}{t} = kq^2 - kq^2 q_t\) | \(q_t vs q_t\) | \(q_e=-c/m\)  \\
|               | \(\frac{q_t}{t} vs \frac{q_t}{t}\) | \(k= m^2/c\) |

where \(m =\) slope and \(c =\) intercept

The values of the pseudo-second–order model constant, \(k\), and the amount of the Ni(II) adsorbed at equilibrium, \(q_e\), are listed in Table 4.
Table 4. Pseudo-second-order kinetic parameters obtained from the linear forms (Type I-Type IV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic model</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>37.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$ (g/mg min)</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>39.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$ (g/mg min)</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>39.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$ (g/mg min)</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>40.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$ (g/mg min)</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By comparing the results obtained for the calculated $q_e$, it can be noted that there are obtained approximately the same values with small differences, but the $R^2$ value of Linear Type 1 was the highest, which means that this version is the best model to represent the Ni(II) adsorption on adsorbent at concentrations studied. Linear Type 1 equation is the most popular used form of the pseudo-second-order model. Also, it must be mentioned that this linear form has been used to describe the kinetic properties of different adsorption processes [42]. The results are in agreement with the other studies [9, 18].

4. Conclusions

In this study the modeling of the process for adsorption of Ni(II) ions was performed using MgAl-LDH material as the adsorbent. For this study, the following conclusions can be illustrated:

- MgAl-LDH material was successfully synthesized through co-precipitation method.
- The results obtained through different characterization methods, such as: SEM, EDX, XRD and FTIR analysis highlights that the material was well synthesized.
- The adsorption capacity of Ni(II) ions increases with contact time in the first 20 min.
- The adsorption process was studied at different time intervals, at 200, 300, and 500 mg/L Ni(II) initial concentration. The maximum adsorption capacities of adsorbent are in the range of 36.5-68.18 mg/g when the concentration varies within a range of 200-500 mg/L.
- BET analysis showed that synthesized MgAl-LDH possessed a surface area of 380 m$^2$/g, which could show its contribution to a high adsorption capacity.
- The adsorption process is described by the pseudo-second order model.
- By comparing the values of the correlation coefficient, $R^2$, obtained for all tested kinetic models, pseudo second-order (Linear Type I) is suitable to describe the rate kinetic data of Ni(II) ions on MgAl-LDH adsorbent.

The main advantage of using of MgAl-LDH material is, first of all, the easy preparation, with low costs. The overall results demonstrate the potential application of MgAl-LDH material in the adsorption process of Ni(II), with proper absorption capacities, which is essential in the wastewater treatment.
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