Comparative Effects of Oral and Injectable Bisphosphonates in Primary Human Gingival Fibroblasts
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Bisphosphonates are effective antiresorptive agents frequently used in the treatment of different bone disorders, as osteoporosis, Paget’s disease and tumours that cause osteolysis. A major concern related to bisphosphonates therapy is represented by osteonecrosis of jaw, a serious, debilitating, and mostly, a therapy-resistant disease, reported as a frequent side effect of bisphosphonates. The present study was aimed to assess and compare the effect of four commercially available bisphosphonates, very frequently used as oral (Fosamax - F and Actonel - A) and injectable (Ossica - O and Zoledronic acid - Z) therapy on primary human gingival fibroblasts - HGF viability. Alamar blue cell viability assay was performed to assess the effect of test compounds (1.5; 2.5; 5 and 10 µM) on gingival fibroblasts viability after a 24 h interval. In these experimental conditions, injectable bisphosphonates (O and Z) proved to be safe for HGF cells, whereas oral compounds (F and A) were cytotoxic even at low concentrations.
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Bisphosphonates are a class of pharmaceutics applied as treatment for several bone disorders and cancers, as: Paget’s disease, osteoporosis, multiple myeloma and hypercalcemia of malignancy, that act by suppressing osteoclast differentiation, impairing its activity and leading to early apoptosis. Their activity on osteoclast is also related to adverse events as damaged bone healing and remodeling processes that have as consequence an augmented risk of developing osteonecrosis of jaw, a serious and debilitating condition, in patients subjected to surgical dental procedures as extraction or implant placement [1-6]. There were described two different classes of bisphosphonates: non-nitrogen and nitrogen containing (risedronate, alendronate, ibandronic acid and zoledronic acid - Figure 1) bisphosphonates, that present a disparate mechanism of action, as follows: non-nitrogen compounds are taken up by the osteoclast and metabolized to adenosine triphosphate analogues with noxious effects that induce osteoclast apoptosis or cell death, whereas nitrogen containing bisphosphonates are interiorized by osteoclasts during resorption process and impair the mevalonate pathway (involved in the synthesis of cholesterol) leading to suppression of bone resorption [7]. Since more than fifteen years ago, there were raised notable concerns regarding the liaison between the use of bisphosphonates and the increased risk to develop osteonecrosis of the jaw after invasive dental procedures [8]. A considerable number of studies were conceived to find strategies for dental management of patients at risk to develop osteonecrosis of the jaw following antiresorptive (bisphosphonates) and antiangiogenic compounds use [1, 8-13], still, there are no effective therapeutic alternatives to reverse bisphosphonates noxious effects, and to find an appropriate prevention/treatment method for osteonecrosis of the jaw is rather challenging [14].

The present study was subjected to assess and compare the impact of orally (sodium risedronate -Actonel - A and alendronate -Fosamax - F) versus intravenously (ibandronic acid -Ossica - O and zoledronic acid - Z) administered bisphosphonates on primary gingival fibroblasts (HGF).

Fig.1 Chemical structures of oral and injectable bisphosphonates tested: A. Sodium risedronate -Actonel; B. Sodium alendronate -Fosamax; C. Ibandronic acid -Ossica and D. Zoledronic acid. The structures were obtained using ACD/ChemSketch software.
Experimental part
Materials and methods
Cell line
The cell line used in the present study was human primary gingival fibroblast - HGF (ATCC® PCS-201-018™), purchased from ATCC (American Type Cell Collection) and received as frozen vial. These cells are adherent, bipolar and refractile, present a spindle-shape and were obtained from a Caucasian female.

Reagents
The culture specific medium - Fibroblast Basal Medium (ATCC PCS-201-030), and Fibroblast growth kit-low serum (ATCC PCS-201-041) were acquired from ATCC, whereas the other reagents used, as: trypsin -EDTA solution, PBS (phosphate saline buffer), Trypan blue, DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide), Alamar blue (resazurin sodium salt) were bought from Sigma Aldrich (Germany) and Thermo Fisher Scientific (USA). The test compounds from the class of bisphosphonates: Actonel (risedronic acid -75 mg tablets, Actavis, Islanda), Fosamax (Alendronate sodium -70 mg tablets, Merck Sharp &Dohme, Romania), Ossica (ibandronic acid -1 mg/ mL solution for i.v administration, Gedeon Richter, Hungary) and Zoledronic acid (Actavis -4 mg/ 5 mL solution for parenteral use), were prepared as follows: Actonel and Fosamax were dissolved in DMSO, obtaining stock solutions of 10 mM, and Ossica and Zoledronic acid were diluted in culture medium.

Cell culture
During the experiment, the cells were grown in specific media - Fibroblast Basal Medium (ATCC PCS-201-030), supplemented with Fibroblast growth kit-low serum (ATCC PCS-201-041). HGF cells were maintained in a humidified incubator provided with 5% CO 2 at 37°C. The cells were numbered using a cell counting device - Countess™ II Automated Cell Counter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), in the presence of Trypan blue.

Cell viability assessment
To verify the potential toxicity of the test compounds on human primary gingival fibroblasts - HGF it was applied Alamar blue assay. In brief, HGF cells were seeded in 96-wells plates (1x10^4 cells/well/ 200µL) and let to grow until the appropriate confluence was reached (24 - 48 h) [15]. Different concentrations (1.5; 2.5; 5 and 10 µM) of the test compounds (Actonel - A; Fosamax - F; Ossica - O, Zoledronic acid - Z) were added in fresh culture medium and maintained for 24 h in contact with HGF cells. After 24 h, it was added 20 µL of Alamar blue, incubated for 3 h at 37°C and measured the absorbance values at 570 and 600 nm by the means of xMark™ Microplate Spectrophotometer (Biorad).

Cell morphology
The effects of test compounds (A, F, O and Z) ± ozone toxicity, the lowest percentage of viable cells being obtained in the case of Fosamax - F (Figure 2B) it was observed a dose-dependent toxicity, moreover a stimulatory effect was noticed; in the case of Z and O (Figure 2A) had no toxic effects on cells viability, results regarding the percentage of viable cells, as follows: Z and O (Figure 2A) had no toxic effects on cells viability, however a stimulatory effect was noticed; in the case of Fosamax - F (Figure 2B) it was observed a dose-dependent toxicity, the lowest percentage of viable cells being recorded at 10µM (67.47 % viable cells), and Actonel -A (fig. 2B) proved to be toxic even at the lowest concentrations tested (1.5 and 2.5µM: 77.93% and 79.33%), percentage of viable cells that was close to the ones calculated for the highest concentration (5 and 10 µM: 72.37 and 71.99%).

Results and discussions
Bisphosphonates are synthetic analogues of pyrophosphates known to strongly bind to hydroxyapatite, a mineral from bone structure and modify bone resorption by decreasing bone metabolism and remodeling processes [8]. The side effects of bisphosphonates include irritation of the oesophagus, dysphagia, malignances, intestinal obstruction, joint and bone pain, but most importantly osteonecrosis of the jaws - a main dental adverse event [3, 5, 11, 12]. Osteonecrosis of the jaw has become a major concern in the field of dentistry, since the risk of development to patients under the treatment with these agents that suffer invasive dental procedures is quite elevated and treating this kind of patients requires a complex management of the pathology. The most cases of osteonecrosis of jaw were recorded after administration of orally bisphosphonate-alendronate (the most frequently used worldwide) [2].

Taking into consideration that the category of patients that use bisphosphonates therapy becomes wider day by day (approximatively 75 million people are affected by osteoporosis in Europe, U.S. and Japan) [16], it is important to know the impact of these compounds in the organism, especially, since this kind of medication is for long-term.

In this study, it was verified and compared the effect of several types of bisphosphonates (Fosamax - F, Actonel - A, Ossica - O and Zoledronic acid - Z) on human primary gingival fibroblasts -HGF viability and morphology after a 24 h stimulation.

Bisphosphonates decrease human primary gingival fibroblasts - HGF viability
Stimulation for 24 h of HGF cells with different compounds from the bisphosphonates family led to distinct results regarding the percentage of viable cells, as follows: Z and O (Figure 2A) had no toxic effects on cells viability, moreover a stimulatory effect was noticed; in the case of Fosamax -F (Figure 2B) it was observed a dose-dependent toxicity, the lowest percentage of viable cells being recorded at 10µM (67.47 % viable cells), and Actonel -A (fig. 2B) proved to be toxic even at the lowest concentrations tested (1.5 and 2.5µM: 77.93% and 79.33%), percentage of viable cells that was close to the ones calculated for the highest concentration (5 and 10 µM: 72.37 and 71.99%).

Statistical analysis
The results obtained were expressed as means ± SD, and the difference between means was compared by one-way ANOVA, using the post-hoc Tukey’s and Dunnett’s multiple comparison tests (GraphPad Prism v. 6.0 Software, SUA). The difference between groups was considered statistically significant if p < 0.05.
Bisphosphonates impair human primary gingival fibroblasts - HGF morphology

Since the viability results indicated signs of toxicity after test compounds stimulation (F and A), it was verified if there were induced some changes in cells morphology. DMSO was used as vehicle for Actonel and Fosamax. As it can be seen in Figure 3, DMSO-stimulated cells present similar characteristics as control (unstimulated) cells, spindle-shape, bipolar, adherence to the plate, what indicates no toxicity signs induced by different concentrations of DMSO.

Fosamax -F stimulation induced several slight changes of HGF cells shape, changes that become more evident with increasing the concentration (Figure 4). Most of the cells kept their spindle shape and their adherence was not affected, still there were also noticed some cells that were round and floating, and cells debris was also present (mainly at 5 and 10 µM), data that are in line with cell viability results.

Similar results as the ones described for Fosamax -F were observed in the cells stimulated with Actonel -A (Figure 5), with the difference that changes in cells shape (round cells), their adherence and the presence of cell debris appeared even at the lowest concentration (1.5 µM).

In the case of cells stimulated with Zoledronic acid -Z (Figure 6) and Ossica - O (Figure 7), it was observed a higher confluence of the cells that presented similar shapes with control cells (spindle shape with a high adherence to the plate), data that are in agreement with cell viability findings (Figure 2A).

Human primary gingival fibroblasts were selected for this study based on the following considerations: (i) these cells are the main constituents of the gingival connective tissue and the most abundant residents of oral mucosa; (ii) play key roles in scarless wound healing process by releasing multiple growth factors (transforming growth factor beta - TGF-Beta, connective tissue growth factor - CTGF, and basic fibroblast growth factor - bFGF); and iii) primary cells offer more reliable data as compare to immortalized cell lines [17, 18]. In addition, previous studies proved that primary human gingival fibroblasts are valuable and reliable in vitro models for screening toxicity/cytocompatibility of dental materials [17, 19, 20].

Our results showed that F and A become cytotoxic even at low concentrations as 1.5 µM (Figure 2B) and also cells morphology was altered (Figures 4 and 5), whereas O and Z had no cytotoxic effects at the concentrations tested (1.5; 2.5; 5 and 10 µM) (Figure 2A). The cytotoxic effect of...
Alendronate -F on human primary gingival fibroblasts was also shown by other groups of research [21-23], data that are in agreement with these results. Stimulation of human stem cells derived from the gingiva with risedronate in the range of 1-10 µM led to changes in cells morphology and a reduced viability [24], findings that confirm our results.

An in vitro study developed on human umbilical cord vein endothelial cells (HUVEC), human gingival fibroblasts (HGF), human osteogenic cells (HHOB-c) and human oral keratinocytes (HOK) stimulated with 50 µM ibandronate, pamidronate or zoledronate for 24 h showed that bisphosphonates used reduced all cells viability [25]. The results obtained in the present study showed that Z (zoledronic acid) and O (ibandronic acid) are not cytotoxic for primary gingival fibroblasts at concentrations ≤10 µM.

Another study conducted by Soydan et al. [22] demonstrated the toxic effects of Alendronate (Fosamax) and PAM on human gingival fibroblasts, characterized by significant changes in the apoptotic and proliferative indices leading to an in vitro faulty epithelisation of the oral mucosa. The apoptotic index of the PAM group was significantly higher than the alendronate group for all concentrations, especially at 24 h of incubation (p < 0.05). The decrease in the proliferation index was similar for both drugs, however, after 72 h of incubation, the decrease in the PAM group proliferation index was significantly higher (p < 0.05). The change of these indices is an important factor in the management of delayed healing of the oral mucosa, secondary to surgery in patients under bisphosphonate treatment, and is a problem that reduces the success rate of healing in cases of bisphosphonate-induced maxillary osteonecrosis [26, 27].

**Conclusions**

In the view of all these findings, it could be stated that oral bisphosphonates - Fosamax and Actonel exert a concentration-dependent toxicity on human primary gingival fibroblasts by decreasing the percentage of viable cells and modifying cells morphology. In contrast, injectable bisphosphonates - Zoledronic acid and Ossica did not affect the viability or HGF cells morphology.
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