The Contribution of Stress Level in Modifying the Cardiometabolic Risk in a Population Cohort from North-East Romania
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The cardiometabolic risk is used to assess the probability of developing a cardiovascular disease in association with diabetes. The research of stress factor represents a breakthrough in assessing this risk. The study aims was to evaluate the importance of cardiometabolic risk measurement instruments in the context of psycho-behavioral factors expressed by individual stress level. The cross-sectional study consisted of applying a PSS assessment questionnaire to a group of 254 individuals. Quantification of the PSL was achieved by a previously validated standardized questionnaire (PSS Scale). The research involved completing the questionnaire and corroborating clinical and paraclinical data (LDL-cholesterol, glycemia, glycosylated hemoglobin - HbA1c).

Data were analyzed using the SPSS V.21 software (IBM). The calculation of global cardiovascular risk score (SCORE) highlighted that 75% of cases presented a risk for a cardiovascular event over the next 10 years of lower than 3 (30%). Fasting glycemia values showed significant differences compared to glycosylated hemoglobin values (t = 8.68, p < 0.01, 95% CI). It is noted the absence of significant differences between the calculated mean value and the normal LDL maximum threshold (t = 0.96, p = 0.336).

Mean values of females perceived stress score (M_pssfemale = 20.9 ± 6.43SD) are significantly higher (F = 30.7, p < 0.05, 95% CI) versus males (M_pssmale = 16.5 ± 5.92SD), but there is no correlation between patient’s age and perceived stress score (r = 0.028, p = 0.651, 95% CI). Correlation of socio-economic factors with PSL reveals that low educational level (r = -0.203, p = 0.001) and low income (r = -0.204, p = 0.001) significantly increase the PSL. The results indicate that there is no correlation between perceived stress score and cardiovascular risk score (r = -0.0936, p = 0.137, 95% CI). Aspects revealed by study results highlight the need for monitoring stress factor in actions of clinical management in patients at high cardiometabolic risk.
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Risk factors represent variables associated with an increased risk of disease [1]. A risk factor is a characteristic or an exposure of an individual that increases the possibility of developing a medical condition. Cardiometabolic risk is influenced by various, modifiable or non-modifiable elements, physiological (age, gender), pathological (dyslipidemia) related to the individual, his or her pattern and the environment.

Arterial hypertension, tobacco smoking, hyperglycemia, sedentary lifestyle, and obesity are established risk factors which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, and neoplasias [2]. There are also recently studied risk factors recognized in present, specific to modern life, among which the level of stress perceived by an individual should be taken into consideration [3].

Cardiometabolic risk may be defined as the total factors of probability associated with the cardiovascular condition and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus [4], in contrast to the classic cardiovascular risk that defines the probability of developing atherothrombotic disease clinically or subclinically manifested such as coronary disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, aortic aneurysm [5].

The concept of cardiometabolic risk highlights the importance of comprehensive assessment of cardiovascular risk and those which predict the development of type 2 diabetes [6], especially when cardiometabolic risk precedes both type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease and influences their evolution and prognosis.

The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is a psychological instrument for measuring the level of stress experienced personally by the individual at risk. It is a measure of the degree of which situations in a person’s life are estimated to have an effect in generating imbalances in the body’s homeostasis. The questions contained in this scale of evaluation were designed to assess how respondents perceive that their lives are influenced by unpredictable, difficult to control events that overstress.

The study aimed to assess the importance of instruments that allow a quantification of cardiometabolic risk by taking into account the psycho-behavioral factors expressed by the individual stress level.

Experimental part
Materials and methods

The cross-sectional study consisted of applying a questionnaire of stress assessment to a group of 254 individuals who accepted the participation by signing the informed consent. The quantification of perceived stress level (stress scores) was achieved with a previously validated tool, PSS Scale (The PSS Scale, reprinted with
The questions in this scale ask you about your feelings and thoughts during the last month. In each case, you will be asked to indicate by circling how often you felt or thought a certain way.

Higher values of perceived stress score are associated with higher levels of stress and indicate a greater probability that it interferes with aspects such as lifestyle changes (a person’s efforts to quit smoking) and their ability to improve the quality of life. The research involved analyzing the information obtained by completing the questionnaire and corroborating the clinical and paraclinical data (LDL-cholesterol, fasting glycemia, glycosylated hemoglobin - HbA1c). The data were analyzed using the SPSS V.21 software (IBM).

The differences between the parameters analyzed by the involved grouping variables (independent variables) were tested using specific tests according to the type and characteristics of the data, OneWayAnova and Kruskal – Wallis test, respectively. The homogeneity of the studied parameters evaluated in terms of dispersion (normal distributions) was highlighted by Levene test results (p > 0.05, 95% CI). For comparative analysis, the OneWayAnova test (95% CI), also known as dispersion analysis or variance analysis, was applied. The significance level (p-value) was considered to be 0.05 (5%), for a 95% confidence interval, indicating that the decision is fair. The Chi-square test was applied to non-parametric analysis. The Yates correction (continuity corrected chi-square) has been applied to less than 5 elements.

Results and discussions

Demographic aspects of the study group

The group distribution was homogeneous, 59.8% being male, and 40.16% women. Furthermore, a normal distribution of cases in terms of age was observed, the maximum frequency of cases being the age group of 61-70 years (21.65%) (fig. 1). The mean age in the analyzed group was 54.8 ± 16.3 SD, with no significant differences between the two groups of patients (Mage/female = 55 ± 16.9 SD; Mage/male = 55±16.95SD) (F = 0.04, p = 0.824).

Socio-economic aspects

Risk factors with increased potential for influencing the cardiometabolic risk were: occupation - agriculture (29.9%), secondary education (56.3%), and average income per family member ranging between 100-400 RON (53.9%).

Lifestyle and cardiometabolic risk factors

Tobacco smoking

Non-smoking patients accounted for 77.1% of the study group cases.

Lifestyle - watching TV

Patients allocated the highest rate of viewing on TV 1-2 hours / day (44.5%) or less than 1h / day (26.8%).

Global cardiovascular risk score

The evaluation of the cardiovascular risk score indicates that 75% of the cases of the analyzed group (Q75) presented a risk for the cardiovascular event over the next 10 years less than 3 (30%), and even lower than 60% in 2.52% of cases (table 3).

Lipid profile - LDL-cholesterol

The normal values were considered <110 mg/dL. The mean LDL-cholesterol value was 112.1 mg/dL ± 35.1 SD with a minimum of 8.2 mg/dL and a maximum of 233.6 mg/dL. There was no significant differences between the calculated mean value and the normal LDL maximum threshold (tvalue = 0.96, p = 0.336). Frequency distribution

indicated that 50% of cases had LDL values lower than 110 mg/dL, 1.6% of patients having values higher than 200 mg/dL.

The assessment of diabetes risk
Normal values of fasting glycemia were considered to be lower than 110 mg/dL, modified basal glycemia was considered for values ranging from 110-126 mg/dL, and diabetes diagnosis considered for fasting glycemia values over 126 mg/dL.

For metabolic syndrome, values higher than 100 mg/dL were considered. The mean fasting glycemia value was 112 mg/dL±32.2SD, with a minimum of 57 mg/dL and a maximum of 371 mg/dL. In the studied group, 75% of cases had values lower than 115 mg/dL. The fasting glycemia values recorded in the study group did not show significant differences compared to the normal value of fasting glycemia maximum threshold (t value = 0.99, p = 0.32).

The normal values of glycosylated hemoglobin were considered to be the lowest of 5.4%, and the "gray area" was considered to be in the range (5.4%, 5.7%). The values corresponding to the cardiovascular risk were considered in the range (5.7%, 6.5%), and diabetes mellitus was considered for glycosylated hemoglobin values higher than 6.5%. The mean value of glycosylated hemoglobin was 5.9% ± 0.9SD, with a minimum of 4.9% and a maximum of 12.6%. In the studied group, 75% of cases presented values lower than 5.9%.

The fasting glycemia values recorded in the study group showed significant differences compared to the normal maximum threshold value of glycosylated hemoglobin (t value = 8.68, p << 0.01, 95% CI).

Stress score (PSS SCALE)
The assessment of health concern based on the perceived stress level was performed with the PSS scale (table 4, fig. 2).

The results indicated a high frequency of cases of very high health concern (43.3%), while for 3.9% of cases health concern reached minimum levels.

The assessment of the correlation between perceived stress level and cardiometabolic risk factors
The results of the study indicated a significant correlation (+2 = 36.27, p << 0.01, 95% CI) between the patients’ gender and the perceived stress score (table 5).

Mean values of female perceived stress score (MPSS/female = 20.9 ± 6.43SD) are significantly higher (F = 30.7, p << 0.05, 95% CI) compared to male perceived stress levels (MPSS/male = 16.5 ± 5.92SD). The value series in the two studied groups were homogeneous (F Levene = 1.35, p = 0.24) (fig.3).

The correlation of the socio-economic factors with the perceived stress score reveals that the educational level and the average income per family member significantly influence the perceived stress score, the low educational level (r = -0.203, p = 0.001) and the low income (r = -0.204, p = 0.001) significantly increase the perceived stress score (table 6).

The influence of the perceived stress level on the cardiometabolic risk factors was assessed using the analysis of the ROC (Receiver Operator characteristic Curve). The analysis showed a significant predisposition for elevated perceived stress scores in female patients, the results showed significant AUC (Area under curve)
values \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{fem}} = 0.706, p < 0.01, 95\% \text{ CI}: \text{AUC} \rightarrow 0.642-0.770) \).

Mean age values did not show significant differences depending on the patients perceived stress level \( (F = 2.36, p = 0.0537, 95\% \text{ CI}) \), the age being homogeneous for the studied stress levels. No correlation was observed between patient age and perceived stress score \( (r = 0.028, p = 0.651, 95\% \text{ CI}) \).

SCORE values are not homogeneous depending on the perceived stress level \( (F = 5.12, p = 0.005) \). Thus, for the comparison of the SCORE values, the results of the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis were taken into account, indicating the absence of significant differences between SCORE values according to perceived stress level \( (F = 7.6, p = 0.107) \). The results indicated that there was no correlation between perceived stress score and cardiovascular risk score \( (r = -0.0936, p = 0.137, 95\% \text{ CI}) \) (fig. 4).

Predictability is low in the perceived stress score on cardiovascular risk score, with non-significant AUC values \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{score}} = 0.482, p = 0.284, 95\% \text{ CI}: \text{AUC} \rightarrow 0.411-0.553) \).

LDL values are homogeneous according to the perceived stress level \( (F = 1.174, p = 0.951) \), with no significant differences depending on PSS \( (F = 1.15, p = 0.331) \). The analysis results indicated that there is no correlation between perceived stress score and LDL values \( (r = 0.057, p = 0.364, 95\% \text{ CI}) \). The results lead to the conclusion that there is no question of predictability of the perceived stress score on LDL values, with non-significant AUC values \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{LDL}} = 0.438, p = 0.124, 95\% \text{ CI}: \text{AUC} \rightarrow 0.360-0.516) \).

The fasting glycemia values are not homogeneous depending on the perceived stress level \( (F = 3.501, p = 0.008) \). Thus, the non-parametric analysis indicated the presence of significant differences depending on the perceived stress level \( (F = 35.71, p << 0.01) \). The analysis results indicated that there is a significant correlation between the perceived stress score and the glycemia values \( (r = 0.272, p < 0.01, 95\% \text{ CI}) \). The results lead to the conclusion that the perceived stress score has significant predictive power on the glycemia values, with significant AUC values \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{fasting.glycemia}} = 0.715, p < 0.01, 95\% \text{ CI}: \text{AUC} \rightarrow 0.653-0.777) \) (table 7).

The values of perceived stress score may be considered as predictive on glycosylated hemoglobin \( (\text{HbA1c}) \), AUC \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{HbA1c}} = 0.656, p < 0.01, 95\% \text{ CI}: \text{AUC} \rightarrow 0.590-0.722) \). The analysis results indicated that there was no correlation between perceived stress score and glycosylated hemoglobin values \( (r = 0.057, p = 0.364, 95\% \text{ CI}) \) (table 8).

Studies attested the long-time correlation between stress and cardiovascular diseases. There are ambiguities about the underlying mechanisms. A number of theories have been proposed, including autonomic nervous system hyperactivity and humoral changes that cause endothelial dysfunction. The proinflammatory status associated with the oxidative imbalance is key physiopathological components of stress-induced cardiovascular changes [8].

A study conducted in Croatia on 300 patients (131 women and 169 men, median age 53.5 years) with uncomplicated arterial hypertension, attempted to determine the relationship between the adherence to antihypertensive drug therapy and stress perception, depression, arterial hypertension and myocardial infarction. The perceived incapacity in the process of stress control and negative thoughts/emotions represented factors that decrease the adherence to antihypertensive treatment [9].

Occupational stress predisposes to cardiometabolic disease, research showing that individuals who have spent...
more than 10 years working in shifts, have been prone to
develop symptoms of metabolic syndrome compared to
those without exposure to shift work, even after
adjustments for age and sex [10].

An observational study of 1878 Saudi students admitted
to university identified that 44.4% of them had a stress
score over 27. The prevalence of this score was higher in
women than in men (49.7% versus 40.7%). The prevalence
of obesity, arterial hypertension and dyslipidemia was
significantly higher in males than in females [11].

A research highlighted the idea that exhaustion is a risk
factor for cardiovascular diseases by sympathetic and
parasympathetic systems and the hypothalamic-pituitary-
adrenal axis disorder. The study was conducted on 55
subjects, 34 men and 21 women diagnosed with burnout
syndrome and 40 healthy subjects, 16 men and 24 women.
After exposed to stressful situations, the clinical
physiological parameters such as blood pressure and heart
rate, and paraclinical parameters (the ejection fraction),
as well as hormonal concentrations, such as serum cortisol
levels were determined. Stress response has been shown
to be ineffective in subjects previously diagnosed with
burnout syndrome [12].

A systematic review that included 39 prospective cohort
studies demonstrates a significant correlation between the
chronic psychosocial stress and the development of
metabolic syndrome. The analysis was individualized and
each component of metabolic syndrome was evaluated,
however, the shortcoming of the the study was represented
by the limited quantity of available research studies and
their heterogeneity [13].

According to an American study conducted in 2013 on
24,443 participants, high stress level was reported by 3529
low-income people (35.4%) as compared to those with a
high income (22.1%), higher risk of death being also
observed in low income individuals [14].

A North American study performed in 2014, on 5313
men and women aged 18 to 74 years, examined several
stress indicators (chronic, perceived, and traumatic) in
relation to the prevalence of coronary artery disease, stroke
and major risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, major
risk factors in a Hispanic community. Chronic stress was
significantly associated with an increased prevalence of
coronary artery disease, stroke, diabetes and arterial
hypertension. Perceived stress was correlated with an
increased prevalence of tobacco smoking [15].

Loneliness is a significant biopsychosocial stress factor
and although the correlations between loneliness, obesity
and metabolic disorders are poorly studied, more recent
research indicates that loneliness is associated with obesity
and stress in obese people [16].

Conclusions

The patients’ age did not present a significant correlation
with perceived stress score (PSS) values. The study results
proved that the perceived stress score has not a significant
prediction on LDL-cholesterol values and cardiovascular
risk score (SCORE). The perceived stress level significantly
influenced the glycosylated hemoglobin value, the fasting
glycemia level and it is significantly higher in female
patients. Aspects revealed by study results highlight the
need for monitoring stress factor in actions of clinical
management in patients at high cardiometabolic risk.
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Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested variables</th>
<th>Area under ROC curve (AUC)</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Significance level (p)</th>
<th>AUC 95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glycemia</td>
<td>.715</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.652 - .777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HbA1c vs Stress score</th>
<th>r – correlation coefficient</th>
<th>p-value significance level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>